UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,286	04/18/2008	Renata Mele	05788.0384	4336
7590 07/14/2011 Finnegan Henderson Farabow Garrett & Dunner 901 NewYork Avenue N W Washington, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			CHOW, CHARLES CHIANG	
washington, DC 20001-4415			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,286	MELE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CHARLES CHOW	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 June 2011. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 n ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bein appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 26,28-45 and 50. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-25,27 and 46-4	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	_		
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/DUC NGUYEN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the argued limitations, A> wherein said primary receiving branch consists of non-superconducting components including at least one non-superconducting components, in claims 26, 50, due to Abdelmonem [ US 6622028 ] discloses both superconducting and non-superconducting component, page 5/pages 4-6,

Abdelmonm does disclose the limitations A> above,

[ the receiver front-end 50 in Fig. 2 being structured with selectable normal filter 60 & high temperature HTS filter 58, col. 4, line 62 to col. 5, line 17; the HTS filter is a superconducting filter, col. 1, lines 27-39; the normal filter 60 can be any non-HTS filter, which is non-superconducting filter, or any filter having five or more poles, col. 6, lines 42-67, for the claimed primary receiving branch consists of non-superconducting components in normal filter 60 ].

C.Chow 7/11/2011.